3745 IFW

THE US PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANIS: WINKLER et al.

SERIAL #:

10/ 525,118

ATT. DOCKET: 870-003-186

FILED:

18 FEB. 2005

TITLE:

MINI FAN TO BE FIXED IN A RECESS IN A WALL

EXAMINER:

IGOR KERSHTEYN

ART UNIT: 3745

TRANSMITTAL OF I.P.R. ON PATENTABILITY

Commissioner for Patents PO BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA VA 22313

Sir:

For the Examiner's consideration, Applicants transmit herewith:

Form PCT/IB/338

TRANSMITTAL OF TRANSLATION TO APPLICANT

Form PCT/IB/373

INT'L PRELIM. REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Please note that Box V refers to the TRACY U.S.P. 5,208,730 and the QIU US 2002-0 060 900, both listed in the IDS filed as part of the US National Phase entry on 18 FEB. 2005. The written opinion considers that PCT claim 1 is patentable over TRACY and QIU.

Respectfully submitted,

Milton Oliver, Reg. # 28,333

CUST. # 4955

TEL: 203-261-1234 FAX: 203-261-5676

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document is being deposited with the US Postal Service as first class mail, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.8, addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1450 on JUNE 23, 2006.

Dorothy Tomasco

/MMO/AMEND/8703-186.IPR

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL
OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION
OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II
OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
08 June 2006 (08.06.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P61.12PCT295

International application No. PCT/EP2004/006102

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International filing date (day/month/year)
05 June 2004 (05.06.2004)

Applicant

EBM-PAPST ST. GEORGEN GMBH & CO. KG et al.

1. Transmittal of the translation to the applicant.

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I).

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

2. Transmittal of the copy of the translation to the designated or elected Offices.

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

None

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Ellen Moyse

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 75

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P61.12PCT295	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/EP2004/006102	International filing date (day/month/year) 05 June 2004 (05.06.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 July 2003 (15.07.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			_
Applicant EBM-PAPST ST. GEORGEN GMBH & CO. KG			

1.	This international preliminary International Searching Author	report on patentability (Ch ity under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).	napter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of applicability	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of inven	ition
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement us applicability; citations	nder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cite	ed
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the	international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations o	n the international application
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to makes an express request	designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
	·		Date of issuance of this report 29 May 2006 (29.05.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		ombettes	Authorized officer Ellen Moyse
Facsin	nile No. +41 22 740 14 35	· recitatio	Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 75
iorm D	CT/IB/373 (January 2004)		

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING A	UTHORITY		
Applicant's or agent's file reference P61.12PCT295 International application No. PCT/EP2004/006102 International polication No. PCT/EP2004/006102 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national application International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national application International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national application International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national patent Classification (IPC) or classification (IPC) o	Го:			PCT Ton
Applicant's or agent's file reference P61.12PCT295 FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			WF INTERNAT	RITTEN OPINION OF THE
Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
International application No. PCT/EP2004/006102 O 5. 0.6. 2004 Discontinuous descriptions of the process of the proces			, ,	
International application No. PCT/EP2004/006102 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant EBM—PAPST ST. GEORGEN GMBH & CO. KG 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VIII Certain defects in the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bix(b) that written opinion of this International Searching Authority vill not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer		-	FOR FURTHER A	ACTION
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant EBM—PAPST ST. GEORGEN GMBH & CO. KG 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain decuments cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Pelminiary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66. Ib/ig() his written opinion of the International service in the international Service in Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA and written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer				
Applicant EBM—PAPST ST. GEORGEN GMBH & CO. KG 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion	l ''			
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion	International Patent Classification (IPC	2) or both national classification a	nd IPC	<u> </u>
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
Box No. II Basis of the opinion Box No. III Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 60. Ibis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer	EBM-PAPST ST. GEO)RGEN GMBH & CO.	. KG	
Box No. II Basis of the opinion Box No. III Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. I(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 60. Ibis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer	1 This opinion contains indicat	tions relating to the following item		
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 7. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			is:	
Box No. IV Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer		•		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer		-		
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer	$\overline{}$	n-establishment of opinion with re	egard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability
Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer	Box No. IV Lac	-	- 1/aVi) with regard to r	
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer	app			
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer				
 FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Authorized officer 		•		
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Authorized officer	Box No. VIII Cert	tain observations on the internation	onal application	
International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Authorized officer	2. FURTHER ACTION			
written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer	than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of			
For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer	written reply together, where	re appropriate, with amendments,	, before the expiration	of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form
Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer	For further options, see Form	PCT/ISA/220.		
	3. For further details, see notes t	to Form PCT/ISA/220.		
Facsimile No. Telephone No.	Name and mailing address of the ISA/E	EP EP	Authorized officer	
Facsimile No. Telephone No.				
	Facsimile No.		Telephone No	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006102

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
i.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
2.		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)). regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material in written format in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	tional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006102

Bo	x No. I	II Priority
1.	\boxtimes	The following document has not yet been furnished:
		copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Add	litional observations, if necessary:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006102

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

It follows from the different features mentioned above that the operation of mounting such mini fans can be carried out very straightforwardly and inexpensively. The application is based on the problem of providing a mini fan which can easily be fastened in a recess of a wall.

The other documents which are cited in the search report, Documents D2 (US 5 208 730 A) and D3 (US 2002/060900 A1), disclose fans which are fastened on a wall by means of a support. The documents mentioned above thus do not give a person skilled in the art any technical teaching or advice which could lead him to the solution proposed in claim 1. The subject matter of claim 1 thus involves an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3).

Claims 2-14 depend on claim 1 and meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) and 33(3).

The industrial applicability of the subject matter of claims 1-14 is not in any doubt. Claims 1-11 thus meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(4).